HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI
&
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No:1086 OF 2007

Dated: 04.06.2007

-

Between:

1. K. Sreeramulu and others

..Petitioners

And

The Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission, Represented by its secretary, Gruhakalpa, Mukharamjahi Road, Hyderabad.

..Respondent

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI
&

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No:1086 OF 2007

ORDER: (per Hon'ble Smt. Justice T. Meena Kumari)

The present writ petition is filed seeking to declare the evaluation of answer scripts in main examination of Group I Services Direct (Limited and General) Recruitment, 2003 and the results of the written main examination dated 13.3.2006 and 25.11.2006 as null and void and consequently, to direct the 1st respondent to evaluate the answer scripts of main examination of Group I Services Direct (Limited and General) Recruitment, 2003 by applying scalling and moderation incorporated in the rules of the Commission.

The petitioners herein filed O.A.No.7242 of 2006 before the A.P. Administrative Tribunal questioning the evaluation of answer scripts of Group I Services Main Examination by the 1st respondent without applying the scheme of scaling and moderation in accordance with the Doctrine of Right to Equality envisaged under Arts.14 and 16 of the Constitution of India, and also seeking to stay the selection and recruitment for Group I services Direct Recruitment, 2003.

The grievance of the petitioners is that the Tribunal without considering the urgency in the matter, adjourned the same to 18.1.2007 though it is brought to the notice of the tribunal that a selection list was displayed in the notice board on 18.12.2006. In the meantime, if any appointments were made in pursuance of the selection list, the petitioners would suffer irreparable loss and injury. Hence, the present writ petition is filed.

Heard Sri Ch.A.B. Satyanarayana, Counsel representing Sri M. Surendra Rao, learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Standing Counsel for APPSC.

Without going into the merits of the case, it is pertinent to note that the relief that is sought for in the present writ petition and the relief that was sought for in the O.A. is one and the same.

The present writ petition is filed only when the main O.A. was adjourned to 18.1.2007. Therefore, there is no any such order impugned in this writ petition. But, the same is filed only on the ground that the main O.A. has been adjourned. In view of the pendency of the main O.A. before the tribunal, the petitioners cannot maintain a writ petition for the self same relief.

Hence, We are not inclined to entertain this writ petition and the same is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs. However, the tribunal is directed to dispose of the matter as expeditiously as possible.

_____
Justice T. Meena Kumari

_____
Justice S. Ananda Reddy

Date: 4th June, 2007
Nn/Gurc.

HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI
&
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No:1086 OF 2007

(Order delivered by the Hon'ble Smt. Justice T. Meena Kumari)

04/06/2007

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

CIVIL REVISION PETITION No:744 OF 2005

28.1.2006